# Secukinumab Improves GRAPPA-OMERACT Core Domains of Psoriatic Arthritis

# Ana-Maria Orbai,<sup>1</sup> lain B. McInnes,<sup>2</sup> Laura Coates,<sup>3</sup> M. Elaine Husni,<sup>4</sup> Dafna Gladman,<sup>5</sup> Luminita Pricop,<sup>6</sup> Olivier Chambenoit,<sup>6</sup> Xiangyi Meng,<sup>6</sup> Philip Mease<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD; <sup>2</sup>University of Glasgow, UK; <sup>3</sup>NDORMS, University of Toronto, ON, Canada; <sup>6</sup>Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, East Hanover, NJ; <sup>7</sup>Swedish Medical Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA

### BACKGROUND

- Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is a chronic inflammatory and heterogeneous disease that may affect peripheral and axial joints, entheses, nails, and/or skin, and is associated with pain, impaired physical function, and poor quality of life<sup>1</sup>
- Most clinical studies use the ACR responder criteria for primarily assessing treatment efficacy in PsA, which do not cover all disease domains observed in PsA<sup>2</sup>
- The Group for Research and Assessment of Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis (GRAPPA), in conjunction with Outcome Measures in Rheumatoid Arthritis Clinical Trials (OMERACT), has been working on improving and standardizing assessments more specific to PsA outcomes; these assessments include disease manifestations in addition to those included in ACR responder criteria<sup>2</sup>
- The PsA core domain set, initially implemented in 2006,<sup>3</sup> has been updated by GRAPPA and was endorsed by OMERACT<sup>4</sup> in 2016 to better reflect the benefit of the drugs and to include the perspectives of both patients and physicians (**Figure 1**)



- Secukinumab is a fully human monoclonal antibody that selectively neutralizes IL-17A and has been shown to have efficacy in the treatment of PsA and ankylosing spondylitis (AS), demonstrating rapid onset of action and sustained responses, with a consistent safety profile5-10
- Here, we present an *ad hoc* analysis of the efficacy of secukinumab compared with placebo through the prism of GRAPPA-OMERACT recommendations across all individual PsA core domains, using pooled data from 4 on-label Phase III FUTURE studies<sup>5–8</sup> and spine symptoms among patients with AS in the Phase III MEASURE 2 study<sup>9,10</sup>

### METHODS

 This analysis included patients with active PsA who participated in the Phase III clinical trials FUTURE 2 (N = 397), FUTURE 3 (N = 414), FUTURE 4 (N = 341), and FUTURE 5 (N = 996)<sup>5–8</sup>

- 300 mg with loading dose
- 150 mg with loading dose
- 150 mg without loading dose (no load)
- Placebo at the end of the 16-week double-blind period
- Because information about axial disease in PsA was not assessed in the FUTURE studies, data from the MEASURE 2 study, a Phase III clinical trial in patients with AS in which 72 patients received secukinumab 150 mg and 74 patients received placebo, was used to assess spine symptoms<sup>9,10</sup>
- Efficacy at Week 16 was evaluated according to the updated GRAPPA-OMERACT PsA core domains using non-responder imputation for missing data for musculoskeletal disease activity and PASI scores or as-observed data for other outcomes, and was assessed via multiple instruments (Table 1)

#### Table 1. PsA Outcome Measures and Prespecified End Points for **Resolution and Improvement<sup>a</sup>**

Inner circle (core) domains (should be measured in				
Domain	Measure			
Musculoskeletal disease activity (arthritis, enthesitis, dactylitis)	No. (%) of pat No. (%) of pat			
Skin disease activity	No. (%) of pat No. (%) of pat No. (%) of pat			
Pain	LSM change f No. (%) of pat			
Patient global assessment	LSM change f No. (%) of pat			
Physical function	LSM change f No. (%) of pat			
HRQOL	No. (%) of pat LSM change f LSM change f			
Fatigue	LSM change f No. (%) of pat			
Systemic inflammation	No. (%) of pat			
Middle circle domains (important but	not required in			
Domain				
Participation	LSM change f			
Structural damage	No. (%) of pat vdH-mTSS of			
Spine symptoms (MEASURE 2)	Mean change No. (%) of pat No. (%) of pat No. (%) of pat			
ACACAO 400/ improvement in Approximation				

Work Productivity and Activity Impairment Questionnaire: General Health.

### RESULTS

### **Baseline Characteristics**

- This pooled analysis included 2049 patients from the FUTURE 2, FUTURE 3, FUTURE 4, and FUTURE 5 studies, of whom 461 received secukinumab 300 mg, 572 received secukinumab 150 mg, 335 received secukinumab 150 mg no load, and 681 received placebo
- Baseline demographics and disease characteristics were broadly similar in all treatment groups (Table 2)
- The study enrolled a mixed population of biologic-naive patients and TNF inadequate responders (up to 32%)

Data were pooled from these studies using secukinumab dosed subcutaneously at

#### all PsA clinical trials)

- atients achieving  $\geq$  50% improvement in SJC76, TJC78, LEI, and LDI atients achieving complete resolution in SJC76, TJC78, LEI, and LDI
- atients achieving PASI100 or PASI75 tients achieving mNAPSI75
- atients achieving IGA 0/1 (clear/almost clear)
- from baseline in pain VAS (scale, 0-100) atients with  $\geq$  3-point improvement in pain VAS
- rom baseline in patient global assessment (scale, 0-100) tients with  $\geq$  3-point improvement in patient global assessment VAS
- from baseline in HAQ-DI (scale, 0-3) atients achieving MCID of  $\geq$  0.35 in the HAQ-DI
- tients achieving MCID of  $\geq$  2.5 in the SF-36 PCS and SF-36 MCS from baseline in PsAQOL (scale, 0-20) from baseline in DLQI (scale, 0-30)
- from baseline in FACIT-Fatigue score (scale, 0-52) atients with  $\geq$  3.5-point improvement in FACIT-Fatigue score
- tients achieving resolution of elevated CRP (> 10 mg/L)
- all PsA clinical trials)
  - Measure
- from baseline in WPAI:GH (% impairment)
- atients without structural progression (change from baseline
- from baseline in BASDAI
- atients achieving 20% improvement in BASDAI atients achieving inactive disease (BASDAI < 4)
- atients achieving ASAS40 response s international Society response criteria: BASDAI. Bath Ankvlosing of Chronic Illness Therany-Fatique: HAO-DI Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index: HRQOL health-related quality of life: ssessment: I DL Leeds Dactylitis Index: I FL Leeds Enthesitis Index: LSM, least squares mean: MCID, minimal
- psoriatic arthritis-specific quality of life: SF-36. 36-Item Short Form Health Survey: SJC76, swollen joint count based on 76 joints: TJC78 tender joint count based on 78 joints: VAS, 100-mm visual analog scale: vdH-mTSS, van der Heijde-modified Total Sharp Score: WPAI:GI
- <sup>a</sup> Where meaningful improvement has been defined for an outcome measure, this threshold was used; where meaningful improvement was unknown, a threshold was selected that was judged to be significant based on current measures in use (eg, 50%-75% improvement) or LSM change; for musculoskeletal disease activity elements and skin disease activity, complete resolution (100% improvement) was also

### Table 2. Pooled Baseline Patient Characteristics of Patients Across

FUTURE 2, FUTURE 3, FUTURE 4, and FUTURE 5 Studies								
Characteristic	Secukinumab 300 mg (n = 461)	Secukinumab 150 mg (n = 572)	Secukinumab 150 mg, No Load (n = 335)	Placebo (n = 681)				
Age, mean (SD), years	48.6 (12.78)	48.4 (12.28)	49.3 (11.81)	49.3 (12.27)				
Female, n (%)	235 (51.0)	298 (52.1)	164 (49.0)	377 (55.4)				
Race, n (%)								
White	412 (89.4)	512 (89.5)	293 (87.5)	615 (90.3)				
Asian	29 (6.3)	38 (6.6)	27 (8.1)	38 (5.6)				
Black or African American	2 (0.4)	0	0	5 (0.7)				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1 (0.2)	5 (0.9)	6 (1.8)	2 (0.3)				
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	0	1 (0.2)	0	0				
Unknown	0	0	2 (0.6)	2 (0.3)				
Other	17 (3.7)	16 (2.8)	7 (2.1)	19 (2.8)				
TNF-IR, n (%)	145 (31.5)	173 (30.2)	91 (27.2)	204 (30.0)				
TJC78, mean (SD)	19.9 (14.59)	22.0 (17.04)	20.8 (16.15)	21.7 (16.51)				
SJC76, mean (SD)	9.9 (7.51)	11.4 (9.76)	11.3 (9.92)	11.1 (9.86)				
Presence of enthesitis, n (%)	284 (61.6)	374 (65.4)	195 (58.2)	431 (63.3)				
Presence of dactylitis, n (%)	174 (37.7)	188 (32.9)	141 (42.1)	231 (33.9)				
DAS28-CRP score, mean (SD)	4.56 (1.03)	4.69 (1.07)	4.56 (1.07)	4.62 (1.07)				
DAS28-ESR score, mean (SD)	5.00 (1.20)	5.11 (1.23)	4.95 (1.18)	5.07 (1.22)				
HAQ-DI score, mean (SD)	1.19 (0.64)	1.21 (0.63)	1.20 (0.67)	1.23 (0.63)				
Patient global assessment, mean (SD)	57.7 (21.51)	58.2 (21.82)	56.3 (22.75)	56.6 (21.22)				
Physician global assessment, mean (SD)	54.2 (18.07)	56.5 (17.59)	56.2 (18.57)	54.5 (18.71)				
Time since PsA diagnosis, mean (SD), years	7.33 (8.44)	6.70 (7.71)	6.05 (6.70)	6.78 (7.45)				

DAS28, disease activity score using 28 joints; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; TNF-IR, tumor necrosis factor inadequate responder

### **Pooled Efficacy**

- Secukinumab 300 mg demonstrated significant improvement in all core domains compared with placebo (Table 3)
- Improvement was also seen in all secukinumab dose groups in the musculoskeletal disease activity and structural damage domains, with the secukinumab 300-mg dose group generally demonstrating the greatest improvement (Table 3; Figure 2)

#### Figure 2. Patient Improvement Across the Musculoskeletal Disease Activity and Structural Damage Domains



All *P* values vs placebo are P < 0.008, except secukinumab 150 vs placebo for no structural progression at Week 24 (P = 0.1027).

\* Among patients with enthesitis or dactylitis at baseline only. <sup>†</sup> Data shown are from the FUTURE 5 study only. No structural progression was defined as a change from baseline vdH-mTSS of  $\leq$  0.5.

Improvement in	n Patients With PsA at We	ek 16 in FUTURE T	rials <sup>a</sup>		
PsA Core Domains	Measures and Improvement Definitions	Secukinumab 300 mg (n = 461)	Secukinumab 150 mg (n = 572)	Secukinumab 150 mg, No Load (n = 335)	Placebo (n = 681)
Inner circle (cor	e) domains (should be me	easured in all PsA o	clinical trials)		
Musculoskeletal disease activity - Arthritis - Enthesitis - Dactylitis	SJC76, ≥ 50% improvement	314/461 (68.1)	353/572 (61.7)	201/335 (60.0)	258/681 (37.9)
	SJC76 resolution	158/461 (34.3)	151/572 (26.4)	77/335 (23.0)⁵	104/681 (15.3)
	TJC78, ≥ 50% improvement	289/461 (62.7)	316/572 (55.2)	183/335 (54.6)	204/681 (30.0)
	TJC78 resolution	89/461 (19.3)	80/572 (14.0)	32/335 (9.6) <sup>c</sup>	35/681 (5.1)
	LEI, ≥ 50% improvement <sup>d</sup>	197/284 (69.4)	219/374 (58.6)	115/195 (59.0)	178/431 (41.3)
	LEI resolution <sup>d</sup>	151/284 (53.2)	166/374 (44.4)	80/195 (41.0) <sup>e</sup>	125/431 (29.0)
	LDI, ≥ 50% improvement <sup>d</sup>	107/174 (61.5)	107/188 (56.9) <sup>f</sup>	75/141 (53.2) <sup>g</sup>	92/231 (39.8)
	LDI resolution <sup>d</sup>	107/174 (61.5)	98/188 (52.1)	74/141 (52.5) <sup>h</sup>	76/231 (32.9)
	PASI100 (resolution) <sup>d</sup>	71/214 (33.2)	65/306 (21.2)	26/171 (15.2) <sup>i</sup>	19/326 (5.8)
Skin disease	PASI75 <sup>d</sup>	151/214 (70.6)	175/306 (57.2)	95/171 (55.6)	34/326 (10.4)
activity	mNAPSI75₫	85/281 (30.2)	106/363 (29.2)	51/220 (23.2) <sup>j</sup>	59/449 (13.1)
	IGA 0/1	112/214 (52.3)	125/306 (40.8)	55/171 (32.2)	25/326 (7.7)
Pain	PsA pain, mean change from BL <sup>k,I</sup>	–19.75 (n = 440)	–15.94 (n = 545)	–15.44 (n = 320)	−4.46 (n = 616)
	PsA pain VAS, ≥ 3-point improvement <sup>i</sup>	313/440 (71.1)	403/545 (73.9)	229/319 (71.8)	331/617 (53.6)
Patient global assessment	Patient global, mean change from BL <sup>k,I</sup>	-20.04 (n = 440)	–15.92 (n = 545)	-14.60 (n = 320)	−5.31 (n = 616)
	Patient global, ≥ 3-point improvement	335/440 (76.1)	398/542 (73.4)	215/319 (67.4) <sup>m</sup>	354/617 (57.4)
Physical function	HAQ-DI, mean change from BL <sup>k</sup>	–0.48 (n = 438)	−0.36 (n = 544)	-0.40 (n = 320)	−0.16 (n = 615)
	HAQ-DI, MCID ≥ 0.35	262/459 (57.1)	281/571 (49.2)	172/335 (51.3)	226/680 (33.2)
HRQOL	SF-36 PCS, MCID ≥ 2.5, %	65.9	59.4	61.8	42.0
	SF-36 MCS, MCID ≥ 2.5, %	48.4 <sup>n</sup>	50.0 <sup>n</sup>	49.9 <sup>n</sup>	40.4
	PsAQOL, mean change from BL <sup>k</sup>	−3.65 (n = 443)	−3.26 (n = 548)	−3.16 (n = 319)	−1.20 (n = 622)
	DLQI, mean change from BL <sup>k,I</sup>	−7.11 (n = 273)	−6.57 (n = 166)	-6.29 (n = 339)	−2.14 (n = 356)
Fatigue	FACIT-Fatigue, mean change from BL <sup>k,I</sup>	6.21 (n = 442)	5.26 (n = 546)	5.42 (n = 319)	1.79 (n = 621)
	FACIT-Fatigue responder (change from BL ≥ 3.5)	247/442 (55.9)	319/546 (58.4)	181/319 (56.7)	239/621 (38.5)
Systemic inflammation	Elevated CRP (> 10 mg/L) resolution	83/111 (74.8)	86/134 (64.2)	52/75 (69.3)	55/154 (35.7)
Middle circle do	mains (important but not	required in all PsA	clinical trials)		
Participation	WPAI:GH, mean change from BL <sup>k</sup>	−13.98 (n = 283)	−10.85 (n = 392)	−13.39 (n = 186)	−4.62 (n = 417)
Structural damage	No structural progression at Week 24°	191/217 (88.0)	170/213 (79.8) <sup>p</sup>	176/210 (83.8) <sup>q</sup>	218/296 (73.6)
Improvement in	n Patients With Ankylosin	g Spondylitis at W	eek 16 in MEASURE	E 2 <sup>9a</sup>	
		Secukinumab 150 mg (n = 72)		Placebo (n = 74)	
	BASDAI, mean change from BL	change -2.19 <sup>r</sup>		-0.85	
Spine symptoms	BASDAI, 20% improvement	48/67 (71.6)		24/64 (37.5)	
	BASDAI inactive (< 4)	31/67 (46.3) <sup>s</sup>		12/64 (18.8)	

<sup>a</sup> All *P* values vs placebo are *P* < 0.0001 except where indicated. All *P* values are for hypothesis generation. No adjustment was made for multiple comparisons. P = 0.0025. P = 0.0077. Calculated only among patients who had enthesitis, dactylitis, skin involvement (affected body surface area  $\geq 3\%$ ), or nail psoriasis at BL, ° P = 0.0066. <sup>†</sup> P = 0.0005. <sup>g</sup> P = 0.0119. <sup>h</sup> P = 0.0003. <sup>i</sup> P = 0.0005. <sup>j</sup> P = 0.001. <sup>k</sup> Least squares mean change from BL; n is the number of patients with measures at both BL and Week 16 visit. No MCID has been defined in PsA. P = 0.0029. P < 0.01. Obside the second second provided as a change from BL vdH-mTSS of  $\leq 0.5$ . Data shown are from the FUTURE 5 study only. P = 0.1027. P = 0.0053. P < 0.001. P = 0.0008. P = 0.0008.

 Similar results were also seen in the skin disease activity domain, with patients receiving secukinumab 300 mg demonstrating the greatest improvement in all measurements (Table 3; Figure 3)

#### Figure 3. Patient Improvement Across the Skin Disease Activity Domain at Week 10



\* Among patients with  $BSA \ge 3\%$  at baseline.

Significant improvement was seen in all secukinumab dose groups across the pain, patient global assessment visual analog scale, and physical function domains (Table 3; Figure 4)



- All P values vs placebo are P < 0.003. The percentages of patients who achieved minimal clinically important differences in the 36-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) physical component summary and SF-36 mental component summary (≥ 2.5-point improvement) were similar among al 3 secukinumab groups (range, 48.4%–65.9%) and were significantly greater than in the placebo group (range, 40.4%–42.0%) (**Table 3; Figure 5**)
- Improvement was also seen in all secukinumab dose groups across the systemic inflammation and fatigue domains





- Secukinumab 300 mg and 150 mg no-load doses had the greatest mean change from baseline (-13.98 and -13.39, respectively) in the Work Productivity and Activity Impairment Questionnaire: General Health measure, followed by secukinumab 150 mg load (-10.85), compared with placebo (-4.62) for the participation domain (**Table 3**)
- Secukinumab 150 mg demonstrated greater improvements in the spine symptom domain in patients with AS than did placebo in all 3 BASDAI measures and in achievement of 40% improvement in Assessment in SpondyloArthritis international Society response criteria (Table 3)

### CONCLUSIONS

- Secukinumab demonstrated robust and consistent efficacy across all GRAPPA-OMERACT PsA core domains using pooled data from 4 on-label Phase III studies (FUTURE 2 through FUTURE 5)
- Secukinumab 300 mg had the greatest efficacy across most of the PsA core domains compared with placebo at Week 16
- Using data from MEASURE 2, secukinumab 150 mg improved spine symptoms in patients with AS
- This analysis demonstrates that secukinumab is an option for patients with PsA, regardless of their symptom manifestation

### REFERENCES

- 1. Gladman DD, et al. Ann Rheum Dis. 2005;64(suppl 2):ii14-7
- 2. Mease PJ, et al. Ann Rheum Dis. 2005:64(suppl 2):ii49-54.
- 3. Gladman DD, et al. *J Rheumatol*. 2007;34(5):1167-70.
- 4. Orbai AM, et al. Ann Rheum Dis. 2017;76(4):673-80.
- 5. McInnes IB, et al. *Rheumatology* (Oxford). 2017;56(11):1993-2003.
- 6. Nash P. et al. Arthritis Res Ther. 2018:20(1):47.
- 7. Kivitz A, et al. J Clin Rheumatol. 2018;24(suppl 3S):364.
- 8. Mease P. et al. Ann Rheum Dis. 2018;77(6):890-7.
- 9. Baeten D, et al. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373(26):2534-48.
- 10. Marzo-Ortega H, et al. Arthritis Care Res. 2017;69(7):1020-9.

### DISCLOSURES

**A-M. Orbai** has received grant support from AbbVie, Horizon, Janssen, Lilly, and Novartis, and consulting fees from Lilly, Novartis, and Pfizer. I. B. McInnes has received grant support from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Janssen, and UCB, and consulting fees from AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Janssen, Leo, Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB. L. C. Coates has received grant support from AbbVie, Celgene, Novartis, and Pfizer, consulting fees from AbbVie, Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Galapagos, Janssen, Lilly, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Prothena, Sun Pharma, and UCB, and speakers bureau fees from AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Lilly, Novartis, and UCB. M. E. Husni has received grant support from Janssen. D. D. Gladman has received grant support from AbbVie, Amgen, Celgene, Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB, and consulting fees from AbbVie, Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Galapagos, Gilead, Janssen, Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB. L. Pricop, **O. Chambenoit**, and **X. Meng** are employees and stockholders of Novartis. **P. J. Mease** has received grant support from AbbVie, Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Janssen, Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, Sun Pharma, and UCB, consulting fees from AbbVie, Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Janssen, Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, Sun Pharma, and UCB, and speakers bureau fees from AbbVie, Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Genentech, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Nancy Bella, PharmD, and Eric Deutsch, PhD, for providing editorial support, which was funded by Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, East Hanover, NJ, USA, in accordance with Good Publication Practice (GPP3) guidelines (http://www.ismpp.org/gpp3). Clinical trial identifiers: NCT01752634, NCT01989468, NCT02294227, NCT02404350, NCT01649375.

#### This study was sponsored by Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, East Hanover, NJ.

Reprinted from the ACR/ARHP Annual Meeting held October 19–24, 2018. The American College of Rheumatology does not guarantee, warrant, or endorse any commercial products or services. Reprinted by Novartis.